Prior to the WB movement catching wind my team and I had devised a plan to expose information, have it confirmed, while maintaining anonymity. This plan was to do so via a manuscript submitted to the Defense office of Prepublication and Security Review in 2019. This book was written as historical fiction by a ghostwriter in 2019 on our behalf.   It was produced by my team as a reconnaissance effort directed at the D.O.D.

The first two chapters was approx. 9,000 words of a 130,000-word manuscript submitted to the Defense Office of Prepublication and Security Review on April 09, 2022.   In addition to D.O.D.'s review, we were told by that office that the manuscript was sent to outside S.M.E. (Subject Matter Experts) for review as well (this means SAP's).
The reason I am sharing this now is because it corroborates and edifies the account by Michael Herrera.

Our intention is to put the D.O.D. in a pickle by creating dilemmas. We are strategically taking advantage of the fact that they must respond. They don't have a choice – no poker face. Any response will provide us with information/intelligence and inverse intelligence.

Our book contains 4 categories of information. A-D:

A.   Sensitive information known to be considered classified by the D.O.D.
B.   Sensitive information known to exist only within the hearts and minds of those involved within the extra-congressional Deep Black agencies of SAP.
C.   Open-source information (available on the internet) but may be considered classified.
D.   Fictional information – pseudo facts and narratives produced by our ghostwriter.

The Pickles -

1.   Any redaction of information 'A' accredits that information as being legitimate and confirms we are in possession of classified information. We will publish the book with lines blacked out as well as rewrite around that information to lead the reader to make solid guesses about what is behind the redactions.

2.   Any redaction of information 'B' proves that there are agents within our own government aiding and abetting Black Team's illegal activities.

3.   Conversely, not redacting 'B' information proves the government is not "read in" and that the Deep Black of SAP is rogue.

4.   Redacting information 'C' accredits open-source platforms like Wikipedia and proves the government's right hand doesn't know what its left hand is doing – this is the double-edged sword of compartmentalization.

5.   Any redaction of information 'D' meant we went fishing and got somethings right. This is confirmation of educated guesses.

6.   Finally, keeping in mind we are playing 4-dimensional chess, there is a counter play here. We could see willful/intentional non-redaction of any of the above categories (A-D) in order to indirectly declare sensitive facts as fiction.   This would be a counterattack of disinformation - officially sealing our content as the fictional writings of a creative author, or what I call "Faction".

Our first 20 pages took the DOPSR 6 months to review and came back with 7 pages of redactions.   We were told we must submit the full manuscript and they would no longer review it in chunks. We are working on that as we speak and adjusting the manuscript based on what we learned in this first round of redactions.